1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	200 East Santa Clara San Jose, California Telephone: (408) 5: Facsimile: (408) 9:	95113 35-1900 98-3131 in@sanjoseca.gov ants and SON VU	EÒËZÇŠÒÖÆÄ EFÌ	<mark>⊞</mark> E	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN JOSÉ FACILITY				
11	MERCEDES MARK	ER.	NO.: C09-05956 RMW		
12		Plaintiff,	PARTIES STIPULATION A		
13	V. *		TO STRIKE THE ORIGINAL DECLARATION OF RICHARD	ARD D. NORTH	
14	CITY OF SAN JOSE and SON VU,		IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTIOI		
15 16		Defendants.	IN LIMINE #6 AND ATTACHMENT; <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER		
17					
18	STIPULATION				
19	Plaintiff MERCEDES MARKER ("Plaintiff") and defendants CITY OF SAN JOSE				
20	and SON VU ("Defendants") (collectively the "Parties") hereby stipulate and agree as				
21	follows:				
22	(1) The copy of Exhibit A, sole attachment to the original Declaration of Richard				
23	D. North in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion in Limine				
24	#6, filed February 7, 2014 ("Original Declaration/Exhibit"), was, by				
25	inadver	tence and mistake, inco	ompletely redacted at the time of	f filing.	
26	(2) The <u>Exl</u>	(2) The Exhibit A attached to the Amended Declaration of Richard D. North in			
27	Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion in Limine #6, filed				
28	PARTIES' STIP. AND REQ. TO STRIKE THE ORIG. DECLARATION OF C09-05956 RMW				
	RICHARD D. NORTH ISO DEFTS' OPP. TO P'S MIL#6 AND ATTACHMENT;				

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1058975

1		herewith on February	1, 2014 ("Amended Exhibit"), is fully redacted and		
2		shall replace the Origin	al Exhibit.		
3	(3) The Parties have a mutual interest in ensuring that confidential information				
4		appropriately redacted	from documents filed with the Court and agree that		
5		no penalty, sanction or	consequence shall result from the substitution of the		
6		Amended Declaration	and Exhibit for the Original Declaration and Exhibit.		
7	(4) The Parties respectfully request that the Court strike the Original Declaration and Exhibit from the Court's record of the above-captioned case.				
8					
9			Respectfully submitted,		
10					
11	Dated: Feb	ruary 11, 2014	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney		
12			By: <u>/s/</u>		
13			RICHARD D. NORTH Deputy City Attorney		
14			Attorneys for CITY OF SAN JOSE		
15			and SON VU		
16   17			Respectfully submitted,		
' <i>1</i> 18	Dated: Feb	ruary 11, 2014	Law Office of Michael Millen		
19			Dur had hutler		
20			By:MM_/WWQ> MICHAEL MILLEN		
21			Attorney for MERCEDES MARKER		
22					
23	<i> </i>				
24	///				
25	///				
26	<i> </i>				
27	<i> </i>				
28			2		
ŀ	PARTIES' STIP. AND REQ. TO STRIKE THE ORIG. DECLARATION OF C09-05956				
1	RICHARD D. NORTH ISO DEFTS' OPP. TO P'S MIL#6 AND ATTACHMENT;				

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1058975

[PROPOSED] ORDER The Court has reviewed the Parties' stipulation above and hereby approves. (1) The Declaration of Richard D. North in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion in Limine #6 and attached Exhibit A, filed February 7, 2014, shall be stricken from the Court's record of Marker v. City of San Jose, Case No. C09-05956 RMW. (Docket #103) The Amended Declaration of Richard D. North in Support of (2)Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion in Limine #6 and attached Exhibit A, filed February 11, 2014, shall be accepted by the Court. ÎÐ Dated: Konald M. Whyte 

PARTIES' STIP. AND REQ. TO STRIKE THE ORIG. DECLARATION OF RICHARD D. NORTH ISO DEFTS' OPP. TO P'S MIL#6 AND ATTACHMENT;

C09-05956 RMW 

[PROPOSED] ORDER